

Deforming maps between

$$sl(n), sp(2n)$$

and

$$U_q(sl(n)), U_q(sp(2n))$$

A. Sciarrino

Università di Napoli “Federico II”

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche

and

I.N.F.N. - Sezione di Napoli

I-80126 Napoli - Italy

Abstract

Using crystal basis, in the space of symmetric irreducible representations, we explicitly write invertible deforming functionals between the q -deformed universal enveloping algebra and the Lie algebras $sl(n)$ and $sp(2n)$. For $sl(2)$ we obtain the Curtright-Zachos map.

Postal adress: Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo
Via Cintia - I-80126 Napoli (Italy)
E-mail: SCIARRINO@NA.INFN.IT

1 Introduction

Quantum algebras G_q or $U_q(G)$, i.e. the q -deformed universal enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra G [1], [2] admit irreducible highest weight representations (IR) which are for q generic, i.e. not a root of unity, in one-to-one correspondence with the IR of the Lie algebra G [3], [4]. This property strongly suggests the existence of a correspondence between the generators of G and $U_q(G)$. Indeed Curtright and Zachos [5] have found an invertible deforming functional $\mathcal{Q}$ which allows the connection $sl(2) \Longleftrightarrow sl_q(2)$. Denoting by small (resp. capital) letter $j_{\pm,0}$ ($J_{\pm,0}$) the generator of $sl(2)$ ($sl_q(2)$) it is possible to write (q real number)

$$J_+ = \mathcal{Q}(j_+, j_0) j_+ \quad J_- = j_- \mathcal{Q}(j_+, j_0) \quad J_0 = \mathcal{Q}_0(j_0) j_0 = j_0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{\{[J_0 + \mathbf{J}]_q [J_0 - \mathbf{J} - 1]_q\}}{\{(j_0 + \mathbf{j})(j_0 - \mathbf{j} - 1)\}}} \quad (2)$$

and the operator $\mathbf{j}$ ($\mathbf{J}$) is defined by the Casimir operator of $sl(2)$ ($sl_q(2)$)

$$C = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{j} + 1) \quad (C_q = [\mathbf{J}]_q [\mathbf{J} + 1]_q) \quad (3)$$

In [5] it has been argued that the construction of the invertible functional can be generalized for any $sl(n)$, but, at our knowledge, no proof has yet been given. It is the aim of this paper to show that indeed such a functional can explicitly constructed for $sl(n+1)$ and $sp(2n)$ ($n \geq 1$) in the space of the symmetric IRs, i.e. the IRs labelled by the Dynkin labels $a_1 > 0$, $a_i = 0$ ($i > 1$). In order to prove our statement we use the crystal basis, whose existence has been proven for the classical Lie algebra by Kashiwara [6]. In Sec. 2, in order to make the paper self-contained and to fix the notation, we recall the basic definitions of deformed Lie algebra G_q in the Cartan-Chevalley basis and of crystal basis. In Sec. 3 and Sec. 4 we give our main results. A few remarks are given in Sec. 5.

2 Reminder of deformed algebras and crystal basis

Let us recall the definition of G_q associated with a simple Lie algebra G of rank r defined by the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) in the Chevalley basis. G_q is generated by $3r$ elements e_i^+ , $f_i = e_i^-$ and h_i which satisfy ($i, j = 1, \dots, r$)

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{ij} [h_i]_{q_i} & [h_i, h_j] &= 0 \\
[h_i, e_j^+] &= a_{ji} e_j^+ & [h_i, e_j^-] &= -a_{ji} e_j^-
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{5}$$

and $q_i = q^{d_i}$, d_i being non-zero integers with greatest common divisor equal to one such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$. For simply laced Lie algebra $d_i = 1$, for $sp_q(2n)$ $d_n = 2$. Further the generators have to satisfy the Serre relations:

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} (e_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}-n} e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^n = 0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q &= \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-n]_q! [n]_q} \\
[n]_q! &= [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In the following the deformation parameter q will be assumed different from the roots of the unity. The algebra G_q is also endowed with a Hopf algebra structure, but we shall not discuss here this aspect, although very relevant.

Let us also recall the definition of $gl(n)_q$, $(k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_k^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{kj} [N_k - N_{k+1}]_q & [N_i, N_k] &= 0 \\
[N_i, e_j^\pm] &= \pm(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j}) e_j^\pm
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The Serre relations are computed using $a_{i,j} = -(\delta_{i-1,j} + \delta_{i,j-1})$. So $gl(n)_q$ can be considered as $sl(n)_q \oplus N_n$ with $h_i = N_i - N_{i+1}$.

It has been shown in [6] that for any q -deformed universal enveloping algebra of a classical Lie algebra G of rang r , in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, a canonical basis exists, called **crystal basis**, such that, in any highest weight $\vec{\Lambda}$ IR of G : $(i, j = 1, 2 \dots r)$

$$\widehat{h}_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) = \lambda_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) \tag{9}$$

$$\widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}_i) = \widehat{e}_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\widehat{e}_i^\pm \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) = \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} \pm \vec{a}_i) \tag{11}$$

$$\vec{\lambda} \pm \vec{a}_i = \{\lambda_j \pm a_{ij}\} \tag{12}$$

where we have denoted by $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$, $\widehat{h}_i = h_i$ the generators of $G_{q \rightarrow 0}$, by $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda})$ a generic weight $\vec{\lambda}$ state, in the space of the IR with highest weight $\vec{\Lambda}$, and by $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \pm \vec{\Lambda}_i)$ a state annihilated by $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$. In [6] the relation between $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$ and $e_i^\pm$ is given. The previous equations imply

$$\widehat{e}_i^+ \widehat{e}_j^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \widehat{e}_j^- \widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} + \vec{a}_i - \vec{a}_j) \quad (13)$$

which for $i = j$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{e}_i^+ \widehat{e}_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) &= \mathbf{1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) & (\vec{\lambda} \neq -\vec{\Lambda}_i) \\ \widehat{e}_i^- \widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) &= \mathbf{1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) & (\vec{\lambda} \neq \vec{\Lambda}_i) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that from eq.(13) the Serre relations for G are trivially satisfied by $\{\widehat{e}_i^\pm\}$.

3 Relation between $sl_q(n)$ and $sl(n)$

In this section we derive explicitly the invertible functionals which connect $sl_q(n)$ and $sl(n)$. Let us recall, e.g. see [7], that a state of an IR of $sl(n)$ is identified by a Young tableaux, which is a pattern of n rows, the i -th row containing l_i boxes, where l_i are not negative integer satisfying, for the highest weight state, $l_k \geq l_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). Strictly speaking one should consider class of Young tableaux as two tableaux differing for a block of $(p \times n)$ boxes i.e. by the first p columns ($1 \leq p \leq l_n$), identify the same IR. The relation between the $n-1$ Dynkin label a_k and the n labels l_i is

$$a_k = l_k - l_{k+1} \quad (15)$$

The integer l_i is the eigenvalue of the operator N_i on the state identified by the corresponding Young tableaux and $\lambda_i = l_i - l_{i+1}$. From eq.(8) it follows

$$[N_i, \widehat{e}_k^\pm] = \pm(\delta_{i,k} - \delta_{i-1,k}) \widehat{e}_k^\pm \quad (16)$$

As first step we prove the following proposition

Prop. 1 - The generators ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$)

$$E_i^+ = \widehat{e}_i^+ \sqrt{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}} \quad E_i^- = \sqrt{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}} \widehat{e}_i^- \quad H_i = N_i - N_{i+1} \quad (17)$$

where $\{\widehat{e}_i^\pm, N_i, N_n\}$ are the generators in the crystal basis of $gl(n)_{q \rightarrow 0}$, satisfy the defining relations, in the Cartan-Chevalley basis, of $sl(n)$ in the space of the symmetric IRs labelled by the Young tableaux $l_1 = \Lambda$, $l_i = 0$.

Proof: On the highest weight state we have

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i^+, E_i^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) &= E_i^+ E_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) = \\ N_i (N_{i+1} + 1) \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) &= (l_i l_{i+1} + l_i) \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The eigenvalue of the r.h.s. of eq.(18) has to be identified with the eigenvalue of H_i , i.e. $l_i - l_{i+1}$, which implies $l_1 = \Lambda$, $l_i = 0$ ($i \geq 1$). Then we consider the states $\{\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i)\}$ which are eigenstates with vanishing eigenvalue of N_i

$$N_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = 0 \quad (19)$$

We have, from eq.(17):

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i^+, E_i^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= \\ -E_i^- E_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= -(N_i + 1) N_{i+1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = \\ -N_{i+1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= H_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

On the state $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda})$ ($\vec{\lambda} \neq -\vec{\Lambda}_i$), from eqs.(11)-(14) we have

$$[H_i, E_j^\pm] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \pm a_{ji} E_j^\pm \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) \quad (21)$$

$$[E_i^+, E_j^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \delta_{ij} H_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) \quad (22)$$

So we can write

$$[H_i, E_j^\pm]_\psi = \pm a_{ji} E_j^\pm \quad (23)$$

$$[E_i^+, E_j^-]_\psi = \delta_{ij} H_i \quad (24)$$

where the lower label ψ in the commutator reminds that the relations hold when applied on a state of a symmetric IR and not as general algebraic expressions. Finally we have to prove that generators $E_i^\pm$ satisfy the Serre relation for $sl(n)$:

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \binom{1-a_{ij}}{n} (E_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}-n} E_j^\pm (E_i^\pm)^n = 0 \quad (25)$$

This is an immediate consequence of eq.(17) and of the following identity ($a \geq 1$, $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$), evaluated (for E_i^+) for $N = N_{i+1} + 1$, $q = 1$, and $a = z = 1$

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq (1+a)} (-1)^n \left[\begin{matrix} 1+a \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_q [N - nz]_q = 0 \quad (26)$$

Then we easily get

Prop. 2 - The generators ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$)

$$e_i^+ = \widehat{e}_i^+ \sqrt{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q} \quad e_i^- = \sqrt{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q} \widehat{e}_i^- \quad h_i = H_i \quad (27)$$

define $sl_q(n)$ in the Cartan-Chevalley basis in the space of the symmetric IRs.

Proof: The second defining relation in eq.(4) is immediately proven while the first one follows by the identity

$$[N_i]_q [N_{i+1} + 1]_q - [N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q = [N_i - N_{i+1}]_q \quad (28)$$

The deformed Serre relations eq.(6) are proven using eq.(26).

From **Prop.1** and **Prop.2**, in the symmetric basis, it follows the relation between $sl(n)$ and $sl_q(n)$

$$e_i^+ = E_i^+ \sqrt{\frac{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q}{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}}} \quad e_i^- = \sqrt{\frac{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q}{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}}} E_i^- \quad (29)$$

Let us discuss in more detail the case $sl(2)$. In this case all the IRs are of the type we have called symmetric and the eq.(29) reads

$$j^+ = J^+ \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \quad j^- = \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} J^- \quad (30)$$

From the following relation between the operators $\mathbf{j}$ and j_0 and our operators

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2} \quad j_0 = \frac{N_1 - N_2}{2} \quad (31)$$

we see that eq.(30) is equivalent to the Curtright-Zachos formula eq.(2). Moreover, in this case, the deforming map can be turned into a general algebraic relation. As a first consequence of eq.(29), we can give a new construction of $so_q(3)$ in terms of standard bosons. Let us recall that Van der Jeugt [8] has shown that the following generators satisfy the commutation of $so_q(3)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= q^{N_{-1}} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_1} + q^{-N_1}} b_1^+ b_0 \\ &+ b_0^+ b_{-1} q^{N_1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_{-1}} + q^{-N_{-1}}} \\ L_- &= b_0^+ b_1 q^{N_{-1}} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_1} + q^{-N_1}} \\ &+ q^{N_1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_{-1}} + q^{-N_{-1}}} b_{-1}^+ b_0 \\ L_0 &= N_1 - N_{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $b_{\pm,0}$, $b_{\pm,0}^+$ are the Biedenharn-MacFarlane [9], [10] q -bosons. From our result it follows that the following generators satisfy, on the states of any IR, the commutation relations of $so_q(3)$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_+ &= \sqrt{2} (\tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_0 + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_{-1}) \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \\
L_- &= \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1] [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \sqrt{2} (\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_0^+ + \tilde{b}_0 \tilde{b}_{-1}^+) \\
N_1 &= 2 \tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_0 \\
N_2 &= 2 \tilde{b}_{-1}^+ \tilde{b}_{-1} + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_0 \\
L_0 &= (N_1 - N_2)/2 = \tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_{-1}^+ \tilde{b}_{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $\tilde{b}_{\pm,0}^+$, $\tilde{b}_{\pm,0}$ are standard bosonic operators.

4 Relation between $sp_q(2n)$ and $sp(2n)$

In this section we derive explicitly the invertible functionals which connect $sp_q(2n)$ and $sp(2n)$. Let us recall, e.g. see [7], that an IR of $sp(2n)$ can be identified by a n -rows Young tableaux, the i -th row containing l_i boxes, $l_k \geq l_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). The relation between the n Dynkin label a_k and the n labels l_i is

$$a_k = l_k - l_{k+1} \quad a_n = l_n \tag{34}$$

The integer l_i is the eigenvalue of the operator N_i on the state identified by the corresponding Young tableaux. Now we define

$$[N_n, \hat{e}_j^\pm] = \pm(2\delta_{n,j} - \delta_{n-1,j}) \hat{e}_j^\pm \tag{35}$$

while the action of for $N_j, j \neq n$, is given by eq.(16). In complete analogy with the previous case, using eq.(19), we get

Prop. 3 - The generators ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
E_k^+ &= \hat{e}_k^+ \sqrt{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}} & E_k^- &= \sqrt{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}} \hat{e}_k^- \\
E_n^+ &= \frac{\hat{e}_n^+}{2} \sqrt{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)} & E_n^- &= \sqrt{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)} \frac{\hat{e}_n^-}{2} \\
H_k &= N_k - N_{k+1} & H_n &= N_n + \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

define, in the Cartan-Chevalley basis, $sp(2n)$ in the spaces of symmetric IRs labelled by the Young tableaux with $l_1 = \Lambda$, $l_i = 0$ ($i \geq 1$).

To prove the Serre relations between E_{n-1}^+ and E_n^+ one has to use eq.(26) evaluated for $N = N_n$, $q = 1$, $z = -2$ and $a = 2$, for $a_{n,n-1}$, and $a = 3$, for $a_{n-1,n}$.

Prop. 4 - The generators ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_k^+ &= \widehat{e}_k^+ \sqrt{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q]} & E_k^- &= \sqrt{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q]} \widehat{e}_k^- \\ e_n^+ &= \frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \widehat{e}_n^+ \sqrt{[N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q} & E_n^- &= \frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \sqrt{[(N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q]} \widehat{e}_n^- \\ h_k &= H_k & h_n &= H_n \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

define $sp_q(2n)$ in the Cartan-Chevalley basis in the space of the symmetric IRs.

The first defining relation in eq.(4) is proven using the identity

$$[N-1]_q [-N]_q - [N+1]_q [-N-2]_q = [2N+1]_q \times (q + q^{-1}) \quad (38)$$

From **Prop.3** and **Prop.4** it follows the relation between $sp(2n)$ and $sp_q(2n)$

$$\begin{aligned} e_k^+ &= E_k^+ \sqrt{\frac{[(N_k+1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q}{(N_k+1) N_{k+1}}} & e_k^- &= \sqrt{\frac{[(N_k+1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q}{(N_k+1) N_{k+1}}} E_k^- \\ e_n^+ &= \frac{2}{q+q^{-1}} E_n^+ \sqrt{\frac{[(N_n+1]_q [-N_n-2]_q}{(N_n+1) (-N_n-2)}} & e_n^- &= \frac{2}{q+q^{-1}} \sqrt{\frac{[(N_n+1]_q [-N_n-2]_q}{(N_n+1) (-N_n-2)}} E_n^- \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

5 Conclusions

We have found explicit invertible maps between $U_q(sl(n))$, $U_q(sp(2n))$ and $sl(n)$, $sp(2n)$ which hold on the states of the IRs labelled by the Dynkin label a_1 , that is the symmetric representations. Let us remark that the Cartan sub-algebra is left undeformed and it can also be identified with the set of diagonal generators in the crystal basis. As a byproduct result we have obtained:

- in the spaces of the symmetric IRs, explicit expressions of the generators of $U_{q \rightarrow 0}(sl(n))$ and $U_{q \rightarrow 0}(sp(2n))$ in terms of the generators of $sl(n)$ and $sp(2n)$. Note however that the correspondence $E_i^\pm \longleftrightarrow \widehat{e}_i^\pm$ has to be handled with some precautions as the factor $(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}$ appearing in **Prop.1** and in **Prop.3** is vanishing on some states. This is an interesting result as the relations given in [6] between $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$ and $e_i^\pm$ are rather cumbersome for Lie algebra G of rank larger than one.
- from eq.(17)-(27) (resp. eqs.(36)-(37)) an expression of the action of the generators of $sl(2)$ (resp. $sl_q(2)$) embedded in $sl(n)$ and $sp(2n)$ (resp. $sl_q(n)$ and $sl_q(2n)$) on the states of the symmetric IRs.

References

- [1] M. Jimbo, “*A q -difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10**, 63 (1985)
- [2] V.G. Drinfeld, “*Quantum Groups*”, in *Proc.Int.Congr. of Math.*, MSRI Berkely, California (1986)
- [3] G. Lusztig, “*Modular representations of Quantum Groups*”, Preprint (1988)
- [4] M. Rosso, “*Finite dimensional representations of the quantum analog the algebra of a complex simple Lie algebra*”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117**, 581 (1988)
- [5] T.L. Curtright and C.K. Zachos, “*Deforming maps for quantum algebras*”, *Phys. Lett. B* **243**, 237 (1990)
- [6] M. Kashiwara, “*Crystalizing the q -Analogue of Universal Enveloping Algebras*”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133**, 249 (1990)
- [7] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, “*Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebra*”, Academic Press, London (2000)
- [8] J. Van der Jeugt, “*On the principal subalgebra of quantum enveloping algebras $gl_q(l+1)$* ”, *J. Phys. A* **25**, L213 (1992)
- [9] L.C. Biedenharn, “*The quantum group $SU_q(2)$ and a q -analogue of the boson operators*”, *J. Phys. A* **22**, L873 (1989)
- [10] A.J. Macfarlane, “*On q -analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group $SU_q(2)$* ”, *J. Phys. A* **22**, 4581 (1989)